User: Kimberly Horne User Role: District

RATING YEAR 2016-2017 Select An Option Help Home Log Out



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Status: Passed Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:		Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM  Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM  Last Updated: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM					
					Passing Score: 60		
					#	Indicator Description	2
		1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data s or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's f respectively?		3/28/2017 12:32:52 PM	Yes	
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material we indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it r and 2.B.			d <b>a</b>			
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financi Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified on there was an unmodified opinion.)		3/28/2017 12:32:52 PM	Yes			
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR vinternal controls over financial reporting and compliance for material weakness.)		3/28/2017 12:32:52 PM	Yes			
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment to school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exer current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lende year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults the default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and the debt.)	reption applies in following years if the school district is and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal at are not related to monetary defaults. A technical contract, or master promissory note even though A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a	3/28/2017 12:32:52 PM	Yes			
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teach Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and	ners Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce other government agencies?	3/28/2017 12:32:53 PM	Yes			
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the the governmental activities column in the Statement of Nethodora of students in membership over 5 years was 10 prindicator.)	et Position greater than zero? (If the school district's	3/28/2017 12:32:53 PM	Yes			
				1 Multipli Sum			
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current invo- sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facil	estments in the general fund for the school district lities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:32:53 PM	10			
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities radebt? (See ranges below.)	tio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term	3/28/2017 12:32:53	10			

		PM	
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency?  (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:32:54 PM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/28/2017 12:32:54 PM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	5/18/2017 12:39:27 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 12:32:55 PM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/21/2017 8:33:24 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/28/2017 12:32:55 PM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/28/2017 12:32:56 PM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/28/2017 12:32:56 PM	10
			100 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			100 Score

## **DETERMINATION OF RATING**

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.				
в.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)				
	A = Superior	90-100			
	B = Above Standard	80-89			
	C = Meets Standard	60-79			
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60			

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.4.6.0